



NUNATSIAVUT
kavamanga Government

Responses to Enquiries

Development of a Refreshed Nunatsiavut Arts Strategy 2027-2032

September 8, 2026

Compilation of questions received from all parties up to and including September 7, 2026 and associated responses.

1. Is it required for the all Directors to travel to all five communities in Nunatsiavut (Nain, Hopedale, Postville, Makkovik, and Rigolet) to carry out the engagement process with artisans, artists, craftspeople, cultural practitioners, and other relevant stakeholders separately for each community? Alternatively, do you see a scenario where the important stakeholders can gather at one or two locations in Nunatsiavut for the individual interviews and small group sessions?
The consultant will be expected to travel to all five communities within Nunatsiavut. Not all directors would have to travel, and the number of travelling staff is left to the discretion of the consultant in consideration of their proposed fee structure and expenses.
2. Would the Nunatsiavut Government consider granting an extension beyond the September 14, 2026, deadline to allow proponents to further refine their project plans?
No, the Nunatsiavut Government will not be granting an extension beyond September 14.
3. Does the Department maintain an existing contact list or database of local artists, craftspeople, and cultural practitioners that can be shared with the successful proponent to facilitate engagement, or will the consultant be expected to build this outreach list from scratch?
Yes, we do have a database of local artists, craftspeople and cultural practitioners that can be shared with the successful proponent to facilitate engagement.
4. Is there a primary regional hub where the majority of key engagement participants would be located?
No, participants are spread out between all 5 Nunatsiavut communities.
5. Would there be an expectation to host in-person engagement sessions across each of the five Nunatsiavut communities (Nain, Hopedale, Postville, Makkovik, and Rigolet)?
Yes. The successful proponent would be expected to hold in-person engagement sessions across each of the five communities.
6. Where are the primary representatives from the Tourism Division/Department of Language, Culture, and Tourism located?
The primary representatives are located in Makkovik and Rigolet.
7. To help our proposed methodology and resource allocation align with the Department's expectations, is there an estimated or maximum target budget established for this project?
It is up to the proponent to determine and propose an appropriate budget as part of their submission for the Nunatsiavut Government's review and acceptance.
8. Is there a targeted project start date, key milestones, or a preferred completion date for the final Strategy that we should incorporate into our work plan?
The project is targeted to begin in early October. Key milestones will be established during contract negotiation, with the final Strategy to be completed by January 15, 2027.
9. Appendix 1 notes that the Inuit Content Factor accounts for 90 points based on the Procurement Act. Could you provide the specific point distribution or percentage weightings assigned to the remaining criteria (e.g., proposal completeness, firm experience, key personnel, price, and references)?
Proposals will be evaluated using the following weighting:

- completeness, thoroughness and relevance of the proposal, including the proposed methodology and work plan: 30 points;
- relevant experience of the firm: 20 points;
- relevant experience and knowledge of key personnel: 20 points;
- price of services: 25 points; and
- references: 5 points.
-

These criteria total 100 points.

The Inuit Content Factor will be calculated separately, out of a possible 90 points, in accordance with section 17 of the Procurement Act. In accordance with section 16(1), a qualified supplier will be the preferred supplier where its proposal is within 5% of the price of the lowest qualified proposal, has significant Inuit content, and has the highest Inuit Content Factor of all qualified proposals received. If no proposal satisfies those requirements, the preferred supplier will be the qualified supplier offering the best value for money, determined in accordance with section 16(2).